

THE TAIL OF THE SINGULAR SERIES FOR THE PRIME PAIR AND GOLDBACH PROBLEMS

D. A. GOLDSTON*, JULIAN ZIEGLER HUNTS, AND TIMOTHY NGOTIAOCO

ABSTRACT. We obtain an asymptotic formula for a weighted sum of the square of the tail in the singular series for the Goldbach and prime-pair problems.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Hardy and Littlewood [7] conjectured in 1922 an asymptotic formula for the number of pairs of primes differing by k . The first major step forward on this conjecture only occurred in 2013 when Zhang [17] proved that there exist some k 's for which there are infinitely many such pairs of primes. Let $\Lambda(n)$ be the von Mangoldt function defined by $\Lambda(n) = \log p$ if $n = p^m$, p a prime, $m \geq 1$ an integer, and $\Lambda(n) = 0$ otherwise. Hardy and Littlewood's conjecture is equivalent, for k even, to

$$(1.1) \quad \psi_2(N, k) := \sum_{\substack{n, n' \leq N \\ n' - n = k}} \Lambda(n) \Lambda(n') \sim \mathfrak{S}(k)(N - |k|) \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$(1.2) \quad \mathfrak{S}(k) = \begin{cases} 2C_2 \prod_{\substack{p|k \\ p>2}} \left(\frac{p-1}{p-2} \right) & \text{if } k \text{ is even, } k \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad C_2 = \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2} \right) = 0.66016 \dots$$

For odd k the sum in (1.1) has non-zero terms only when n or n' is a power of 2, so $\psi_2(N, k) = O((\log N)^2)$. For the Goldbach problem Hardy and Littlewood conjectured an analogous formula for the number of ways an even number k can be expressed as the sum of two primes, which also includes the arithmetic function $\mathfrak{S}(k)$.

The function $\mathfrak{S}(k)$ is called the singular series, a name given it by Hardy and Littlewood because it first occurred as the series

$$(1.4) \quad \mathfrak{S}(k) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} c_q(-k),$$

where the Ramanujan sum $c_q(n)$ is defined by

$$(1.5) \quad c_q(n) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \\ (a, q) = 1}} e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right), \quad e(\alpha) = e^{2\pi i \alpha}.$$

Date: September 9, 2014.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11N05; Secondary 11P32, 11N36.

Key words and phrases. Hardy-Littlewood conjecture; prime numbers; singular series.

* Research supported by National Science Foundation Grants DMS-0804181 and DMS-1104434.

Some well-known properties of $c_q(n)$ (see, e.g., [12]) are that $c_q(-n) = c_q(n)$, $c_q(n)$ is a multiplicative function of q , and

$$(1.6) \quad c_q(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d|q}} d\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) = \frac{\mu\left(\frac{q}{(n,q)}\right)\phi(q)}{\phi\left(\frac{q}{(n,q)}\right)}.$$

Since the singular series is a sum of multiplicative functions in q , it is easy to verify that (1.4) is equivalent to the product in (1.2). The series in (1.4) is a Ramanujan series; many arithmetic functions can be expanded into these series which have the property that the first term $q = 1$ is the average or expected value of the arithmetic function. Thus we see that the $q = 1$ term in (1.4) says that $\mathfrak{S}(k)$ has average value 1. If we consider the first two terms we have

$$\mathfrak{S}(k) = 1 + e\left(-\frac{k}{2}\right) + \sum_{q=3}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} c_q(-k),$$

and therefore we obtain the refinement that on average $\mathfrak{S}(k)$ is 0 if k is odd and is 2 if k is even.

In applications it is often useful to truncate the singular series; we write

$$(1.7) \quad \mathfrak{S}(k) = \mathfrak{S}_y(k) + \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_y(k),$$

where

$$(1.8) \quad \mathfrak{S}_y(k) = \sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} c_q(-k), \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_y(k) = \sum_{q > y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} c_q(-k).$$

We refer to $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_y(k)$ as the tail of the singular series. Montgomery and Vaughan [11], by a simple argument using (1.5), proved for $y \geq 1$ the bound

$$(1.9) \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_y(k) \ll d(k) \frac{(\log \log 3y)^2}{y}.$$

Using a result of Ramanujan (for a proof see [16])

$$\sum_{k \leq N} d(k)^2 \sim \frac{1}{\pi^2} N (\log N)^3,$$

this bound immediately gives the mean square estimate

$$\sum_{k \leq N} \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_y(k)^2 \ll \frac{N (\log N)^3 (\log \log 3y)^4}{y^2}.$$

In [5]¹ the first-named author improved this last bound by showing

$$(1.10) \quad \sum_{k \leq N} \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_y(k)^2 \ll \frac{N \log N}{y^2}.$$

Bounds of this type are useful in applications related to both the Goldbach and prime pair conjectures. For a recent application, see [1]. The proof of (1.10) is rather complicated and left open the question of whether the result can be improved further or is best possible. Our first result answers this question in the range $1 \leq y \leq \sqrt{N}$.

Theorem 1. *We have, for $1 \leq y \leq \sqrt{N}$ and any fixed δ , $0 < \delta < 1$,*

$$(1.11) \quad \sum_{k \leq N} (N - k)^2 \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_y(k)^2 = \mathcal{T}(y) \frac{N^3}{3} \left(1 + O_{\delta} \left(\left(\frac{y^2}{N} \right)^{\delta} \right) \right),$$

where

$$(1.12) \quad \mathcal{T}(y) := \sum_{q > y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^3}.$$

¹Beware that in [5] $\mathfrak{S}_y(k)$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_y(k)$ are defined differently than they are in this paper.

From (2.8) below we have

$$(1.13) \quad \mathcal{T}(y) = \frac{\mathcal{A}}{y^2} (1 + o(1)), \quad \text{where } \mathcal{A} = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{2 - 1/p}{(p-1)^2}\right).$$

A simple argument then gives the following result. Here $f \asymp g$ means $f \ll g$ and $g \ll f$.

Corollary 1. *We have, for some sufficiently small constant c ,*

$$(1.14) \quad \sum_{k \leq N} \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_y(k)^2 \asymp \frac{N}{y^2}, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq y \leq c\sqrt{N}.$$

and for $1 \leq y \leq \sqrt{N}$ and any fixed δ , $0 < \delta < 1$,

$$(1.15) \quad \sum_{k \leq N} \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_y(k)^2 = \mathcal{T}(y)N \left(1 + O_\delta \left(\left(\frac{y^2}{N}\right)^{\delta/4}\right)\right).$$

Our main result is a refinement of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *We have, for $1 \leq y \leq \sqrt{N}$,*

$$(1.16) \quad \sum_{k \leq N} (N-k)^2 \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_y(k)^2 = \mathcal{T}(y) \frac{N^3}{3} - \frac{1}{4} N^2 \left(\log \frac{N}{y^2}\right)^2 + c N^2 \log \frac{N}{y^2} + O(N^2) + O\left(\frac{N^2}{\sqrt{y}} \log(2N)\right),$$

where

$$c = \frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + \frac{1}{2} \sum_p \frac{(p-2) \log p}{p(p-1)^2}.$$

The proof of Theorem 2 requires a less direct approach than Theorem 1. To proceed from the proof of Theorem 1 we want to take the parameter $\delta \geq 1$, but then the sums that result from the tail of the singular series diverge. Therefore we are forced to consider $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_y(k)^2 = (\mathfrak{S}(k) - \mathfrak{S}_y(k))^2$, multiply this out, and evaluate each of the three terms separately.

With a little additional work, by not dropping lower-order terms in (6.15), (7.15), (7.16) and (7.21) we can replace the $O(N^2)$ in Theorem 2 by $CN^2 + O_\epsilon(N^2 y^{-\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon})$ for a complicated constant C .

The weight $(N-k)^2$ in our sum was chosen because it occurs naturally in the prime-pair problem. Obviously other weights or families of weights can be used.

We have not been able to extend these results to the range $\sqrt{N} \leq y \leq N$ so in this range (1.10) remains the best result known. For $y \geq N$, the method of [5] yields $\sum_{k \leq N} \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_y(k)^2 \ll_A \frac{N \log N}{y^2 \log(2y/N)^A}$.

Notation. We follow some common conventions. A sum will normally be over integers; any sum without a lower bound on the summation variable will start at 1. Empty sums will equal 0 and empty products will equal 1. The letter p will always denote a prime. The letter ϵ will denote a small positive real number which may change from equation to equation.

2. LEMMAS

We gather here some of the results we need later.

Lemma 1. *Let $s(x) = x - [x] - \frac{1}{2}$. Then for $x \geq 0$ we have*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{1 \leq k \leq x} (x-k) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - s(x)^2 \right)$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{1 \leq k \leq x} (x-k)^2 = \frac{1}{3} \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)^3 - \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^x s(u)^2 du.$$

Since $|s(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{1 \leq k \leq x} (x-k) = \frac{1}{2} x^2 - \frac{1}{2} x + O(1).$$

Since $s(x)$ is periodic with period 1 and $\int_0^1 s(u)^2 du = \frac{1}{12}$, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{1 \leq k \leq x} (x-k)^2 = \frac{1}{3}x^3 - \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{6}x + O(1).$$

Proof. For the first identity, we use $\lfloor x \rfloor = x - \frac{1}{2} - s(x)$ to write

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(x) &:= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq x} (x-k) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \lfloor x \rfloor} (x-k) \\ &= x\lfloor x \rfloor - \frac{\lfloor x \rfloor(\lfloor x \rfloor + 1)}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\lfloor x \rfloor \left(x - \frac{1}{2} + s(x) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(x - \frac{1}{2} - s(x) \right) \left(x - \frac{1}{2} + s(x) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(x - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 - s(x)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

For the second identity, we use the first in

$$\sum_{1 \leq k \leq x} (x-k)^2 = 2 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^x S_1(u) du.$$

Lemma 2. For fixed real numbers a and b , let

$$(2.5) \quad G(x; a, b) := \sum_{r \leq x} \frac{\mu(r)^2 r^a}{\phi(r)^b},$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad g(s; a, b) := \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1 - p^{s-a+b}(1 - (1 - \frac{1}{p})^b)}{(p-1)^b p^{2(s-a)+b}} \right).$$

Then we have

$$(2.7) \quad G(x; a, b) = \begin{cases} \frac{g(a-b+1; a, b)}{a-b+1} x^{a-b+1} + o_{a,b}(x^{a-b+1}) & \text{if } a-b > -1, \\ g(0; b-1, b) \log x + O_{a,b}(1) & \text{if } a = b-1, \\ \zeta(b-a)g(0; a, b) + \frac{g(a-b+1; a, b)}{a-b+1} x^{a-b+1} + o_{a,b}(x^{a-b+1}) & \text{if } a-b < -1, \end{cases}$$

where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function (3.3).

This is Lemma 2 of [4]. In this paper we frequently apply this lemma to obtain only an upper bound for $G(x; a, b)$, but it is useful to know that the estimates obtained are essentially sharp. We note that when $a-b < -1$

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{r > x} \frac{\mu(r)^2 r^a}{\phi(r)^b} = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} (G(y; a, b) - G(x; a, b)) = \frac{g(a-b+1; a, b)}{b-a-1} x^{a-b+1} + o_{a,b}(x^{a-b+1}).$$

Lemma 3 (Hildebrand). For $x \geq 1$, $d \geq 1$, we have

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{\substack{q \leq x \\ (q, d)=1}} \frac{\mu^2(q)}{\phi(q)} = \frac{\phi(d)}{d} \left(\log x + \gamma + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)} + \sum_{p|d} \frac{\log p}{p} \right) + O\left(x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{p|d} (1 + p^{-\frac{1}{2}})\right).$$

This is Hilfssatz 2 of [8].

Lemma 4. For $x \geq 1$,

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{k \leq x} (x-k) \mathfrak{S}(k) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}x \log x + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma - \log 2\pi)x + O_\epsilon(x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}).$$

This was first stated in [4], and also appeared in [2], but the first published proof is in [10].

Our next lemma is a generalization and strengthening of Lemma 4 due to Vaughan. We let

$$(2.11) \quad \mathfrak{G}_d(k) = 2C(d) \prod_{\substack{p|k \\ (p, 2d)=1}} \left(\frac{p-1}{p-2} \right),$$

where

$$(2.12) \quad C(d) = \prod_{(p, 2d)=1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2} \right).$$

Note that unlike for $\mathfrak{S}(k)$ we do not require that $\mathfrak{G}_d(k)$ be zero if k is odd; instead $\mathfrak{G}_d(k) = \mathfrak{G}_d(2k)$.

Lemma 5 (Vaughan). *For $x \geq 1$, we have*

$$(2.13) \quad \sum_{k \leq x} (x-k) \mathfrak{G}_d(k) = x^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(d, 2)\phi(d)}{d} x \left(\log x + \gamma - 1 + \log 2\pi + \sum_{p|2d} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right) + E(x, d)$$

where

$$(2.14) \quad E(x, d) \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(-c \frac{(\log 2x)^{\frac{3}{5}}}{(\log \log 3x)^{\frac{1}{5}}} \right) \prod_{p|d} (1 - p^{-\frac{1}{4}})^{-1}$$

for some positive constant c . If we assume the Riemann Hypothesis then $x^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in (2.14) can be replaced by $x^{\frac{5}{12} + \epsilon}$.

This is Theorem 3 of [15]. (The Riemann Hypothesis estimate is on page 552 of that paper.) We can recover Lemma 4 from Lemma 5 with a stronger error term by using

$$\sum_{k \leq x} (x-k) \mathfrak{S}(k) = 2 \sum_{k \leq \frac{x}{2}} \left(\frac{x}{2} - k \right) \mathfrak{S}_1(k).$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.

We have

$$(3.1) \quad S := \sum_{k \leq N} (N-k)^2 \widetilde{\mathfrak{S}}_y(k)^2 = \sum_{q > y} \sum_{q' > y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} \frac{\mu(q')^2}{\phi(q')^2} \underbrace{\sum_{1 \leq k \leq N} (N-k)^2 c_q(-k) c_{q'}(-k)}_{S'},$$

and by the formula $c_q(-k) = \sum_{\substack{d|q \\ d|k}} d \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right)$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad S' = \sum_{d|q} \sum_{d'|q'} d \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) d' \mu\left(\frac{q'}{d'}\right) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq N \\ [d, d']|k}} (N-k)^2.$$

We now need to evaluate the inner sum over k . In proving Theorem 2 we do this with the elementary Lemma 1, but here we need to use the formula in Theorem B of Ingham [9]: if m is a positive integer, $c > 0$, and $x > 0$, then

$$\frac{m!}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{x^{s+m}}{s(s+1)(s+2) \cdots (s+m)} ds = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 < x \leq 1, \\ (x-1)^m & \text{if } x \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

The Riemann zeta function is, for $s = \sigma + it$, $\sigma > 1$,

$$(3.3) \quad \zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right)^{-1}.$$

The series and product converge absolutely and converge uniformly for $\sigma \geq 1 + \epsilon$. Hence for $x \geq 1$ and $c > 1$ we have

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} (x - n)^k = \frac{k!}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{\zeta(s) x^{s+k}}{s(s+1)(s+2) \cdots (s+k)} ds.$$

Now

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq N \\ [d, d'] | k}} (N - k)^2 = [d, d']^2 \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \frac{N}{[d, d']}} \left(\frac{N}{[d, d']} - k \right)^2,$$

and therefore

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq N \\ [d, d'] | k}} (N - k)^2 = \frac{2!}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{\zeta(s) N^{s+2}}{s(s+1)(s+2)[d, d']^s} ds,$$

making use of the assumption that $y \leq \sqrt{N}$ to ensure that

$$\frac{N}{[d, d']} \geq \frac{N}{dd'} \geq \frac{N}{qq'} \geq \frac{N}{y^2} \geq 1.$$

Hence

$$(3.5) \quad S = \frac{2!}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \zeta(s) B_s(y) \frac{N^{s+2}}{s(s+1)(s+2)} ds,$$

where

$$(3.6) \quad B_s(y) = \sum_{q > y} \sum_{q' > y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} \frac{\mu(q')^2}{\phi(q')^2} \sum_{d|q} \sum_{d'|q'} \frac{dd' \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \mu\left(\frac{q'}{d'}\right)}{[d, d']^s}.$$

Following the method Selberg introduced for the Selberg sieve [13], we now diagonalize $B_s(y)$. Define $\phi_s(n)$ by the equation $n^s = \sum_{d|n} \phi_s(d)$, so that $\phi_s(n)$ is multiplicative and $\phi_s(p) = p^s - 1$. Letting $n = (d, d')$ we have

$$(d, d')^s = \sum_{\substack{r|d \\ r|d'}} \phi_s(r),$$

and thus

$$\frac{dd'}{[d, d']^s} = (dd')^{1-s} \sum_{\substack{r|d \\ r|d'}} \phi_s(r).$$

Hence

$$(3.7) \quad B_s(y) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \phi_s(r) \left(\sum_{\substack{q > y \\ r|q}} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} \sum_{\substack{d|q \\ r|d}} d^{1-s} \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \right)^2.$$

The simplest bound on $\zeta(s)$ in the critical strip is that if $0 < \alpha < 1$, $|t| \geq 1$, then

$$(3.8) \quad |\zeta(s)| < C(\alpha) |t|^{1-\alpha} \quad \text{for } \sigma \geq \alpha$$

for some constant $C(\alpha)$, see Theorem 9 of Ingham[9]. We also need the bound, for $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$(3.9) \quad B_s(y) \ll \frac{1}{y^{2\alpha}} \quad \text{for } \sigma \geq \alpha,$$

which we will prove later in this section. In our formula for S we move the contour to the left past the simple pole with residue 1 at $s = 1$ of $\zeta(s)$ to the line $s = \alpha + it$ with $0 < \alpha < 1$. Since by (3.8) and (3.9)

the integrand is $O_\alpha(N^{2+\alpha}y^{-2\alpha}/|t|^{2+\alpha})$ for $|t| \geq 1$, the integrals converge absolutely and we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} S &= B_1(y) \frac{N^3}{3} + \frac{2!}{2\pi i} \int_{\alpha-i\infty}^{\alpha+i\infty} \zeta(s) B_s(y) \frac{N^{s+2}}{s(s+1)(s+2)} ds \\ &= B_1(y) \frac{N^3}{3} + O_\alpha\left(\frac{N^{2+\alpha}}{y^{2\alpha}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We have $\sum_{\substack{d|q \\ r|d}} \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) = \sum_{s|\frac{q}{r}} \mu\left(\frac{q/r}{s}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q = r, \\ 0 & \text{if } q \neq r, \end{cases}$ and thus

$$(3.11) \quad B_1(y) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \phi(r) \left(\sum_{\substack{q>y \\ r|q}} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} \sum_{\substack{d|q \\ r|d}} \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \right)^2 = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \phi(r) \left(\sum_{\substack{q>y \\ q=r}} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} \right)^2 = \sum_{r>y} \frac{\mu(r)^2}{\phi(r)^3} = \mathcal{T}(y).$$

We conclude that

$$S = \mathcal{T}(y) \frac{N^3}{3} + O_\alpha\left(\frac{N^3}{y^2} \left(\frac{y^2}{N}\right)^{1-\alpha}\right),$$

which proves Theorem 1 on taking $\alpha = 1 - \delta$.

It remains to prove (3.9). For the sums over q and d inside the square in (3.7), writing $q = du$, $d = rv$, we have $q = ruv$ and

$$\sum_{\substack{q>y \\ r|q}} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} \sum_{\substack{d|q \\ r|d}} d^{1-s} \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) = \sum_{ruv>y} \frac{\mu(ruv)^2}{\phi(ruv)^2} (rv)^{1-s} \mu(u).$$

Hence

$$B_s(y) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(r)^2 \phi_s(r) r^{2-2s}}{\phi(r)^4} \left(\sum_{\substack{u=1 \\ (u,r)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(u)}{\phi(u)^2} \left(\sum_{\substack{v>y/ur \\ (v,ur)=1}} \frac{\mu(v)^2 v^{1-s}}{\phi(v)^2} \right) \right)^2.$$

We note that for squarefree r

$$|\phi_{a+it}(r)| \leq \prod_{p|r} (p^a + 1) = r^a \prod_{p|r} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^a}\right) = r^a \sigma_{-a}(r),$$

where $\sigma_z(r) = \sum_{d|r} d^z$. We conclude

$$|B_{a+it}(y)| \leq \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(r)^2 r^{2-a}}{\phi(r)^4} \sigma_{-a}(r) \left(\sum_{u=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(u)^2}{\phi(u)^2} \left(\sum_{v>y/ur} \frac{\mu(v)^2 v^{1-a}}{\phi(v)^2} \right) \right)^2.$$

Clearly the right-hand side is a decreasing function of a , and therefore to prove (3.9) we only need to prove that the right-hand side above satisfies the bound in (3.9) for $a = \alpha$. Since by Lemma 2

$$\sum_{v>y/ur} \frac{\mu(v)^2 v^{1-\alpha}}{\phi(v)^2} \ll_{\alpha} \left(\frac{ur}{y}\right)^{\alpha},$$

we have

$$B_{\alpha+it}(y) \ll_{\alpha} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(r)^2 r^{2-\alpha}}{\phi(r)^4} \sigma_{-\alpha}(r) \left(\sum_{u=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(u)^2}{\phi(u)^2} \left(\frac{ur}{y}\right)^{\alpha} \right)^2.$$

Applying Lemma 2 again, the sum over u is

$$\frac{r^{\alpha}}{y^{\alpha}} \sum_{u=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(u)^2 u^{\alpha}}{\phi(u)^2} \ll_{\alpha} \frac{r^{\alpha}}{y^{\alpha}},$$

so

$$B_s(y) \ll_\alpha \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(r)^2 r^{2-\alpha} \sigma_{-\alpha}(r)}{\phi(r)^4} \frac{r^{2\alpha}}{y^{2\alpha}} = \frac{1}{y^{2\alpha}} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(r)^2 r^{2+\alpha} \sigma_{-\alpha}(r)}{\phi(r)^4}.$$

Since $\phi(dm) = \phi(d)\phi(m)$ when $\mu(dm) \neq 0$,

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(r)^2 r^{2+\alpha}}{\phi(r)^4} \sum_{d|r} \frac{1}{d^\alpha} = \sum_{d,m} \frac{\mu(dm)^2 d^2 m^{2+\alpha}}{\phi(dm)^4} \leq \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(m)^2 m^{2+\alpha}}{\phi(m)^4} \right) \left(\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d)^2 d^2}{\phi(d)^4} \right) \ll_\alpha 1$$

and $B_s(y) \ll_\alpha \frac{1}{y^{2\alpha}}$, which proves (3.9).

To prove Corollary 1, let

$$T_0(N) = \sum_{k \leq N} \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_y(k)^2, \quad T_m(N) = \sum_{k \leq N} (N-k)^m \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_y(k)^2 \quad \text{for } m \geq 1.$$

Then by Theorem 1 and (1.13) for $1 \leq y \leq cN^{1/2}$ with c sufficiently small

$$T_2(N) \asymp \frac{N^3}{y^2}$$

and (1.14) follows from

$$\frac{1}{N^2} T_2(N) \leq T_0(N) \leq \frac{1}{N^2} T_2(2N).$$

To prove (1.15) we note for $m \geq 0$ that

$$T_{m+1}(N) = (m+1) \int_1^N T_m(u) du.$$

Since $T_m(N)$ is a nondecreasing function of N , we have, for $1 \leq h \leq N$,

$$T_1(N) \leq \frac{1}{h} \int_N^{N+h} T_1(u) du = \frac{T_2(N+h) - T_2(N)}{2h}$$

and similarly

$$T_1(N) \geq \frac{T_2(N) - T_2(N-h)}{2h}.$$

Now by (1.11) and (1.13)

$$T_2(N) = \mathcal{T}(y) \frac{N^3}{3} + O_\delta \left(\frac{N^3}{y^2} \left(\frac{y^2}{N} \right)^\delta \right),$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{T_2(N \pm h) - T_2(N)}{\pm 2h} &= \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{T}(y) \left(N^2 \pm Nh + \frac{h^2}{3} \right) + O_\delta \left(\frac{N^3}{hy^2} \left(\frac{y^2}{N} \right)^\delta \right) \\ &= \mathcal{T}(y) \frac{N^2}{2} + O \left(\frac{Nh}{y^2} \right) + O_\delta \left(\frac{N^3}{hy^2} \left(\frac{y^2}{N} \right)^\delta \right). \end{aligned}$$

Balancing the two error terms by choosing $h = N \left(\frac{y^2}{N} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}}$, we conclude

$$\frac{T_2(N \pm h) - T_2(N)}{\pm 2h} = \mathcal{T}(y) \frac{N^2}{2} + O_\delta \left(\frac{N^2}{y^2} \left(\frac{y^2}{N} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \right),$$

and hence

$$T_1(N) = \mathcal{T}(y) \frac{N^2}{2} + O_\delta \left(\frac{N^2}{y^2} \left(\frac{y^2}{N} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \right).$$

By the same argument $T_0(N)$ is bounded between the expressions

$$\frac{T_1(N \pm h) - T_1(N)}{\pm h} = \mathcal{T}(y) N + O \left(\frac{h}{y^2} \right) + O_\delta \left(\frac{N^2}{hy^2} \left(\frac{y^2}{N} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \right)$$

and the choice $h = N \left(\frac{y^2}{N} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{4}}$ gives

$$T_0(N) = \mathcal{T}(y)N + O_\delta \left(\frac{N}{y^2} \left(\frac{y^2}{N} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{4}} \right),$$

which proves (1.15).

4. THE AVERAGE OF THE SINGULAR SERIES TAIL

In this section for completeness we give a proof of the average size of the tail of the singular series. This proof illustrates the method we use to prove Theorem 2 without all the complications.

Theorem 3. *We have, for $1 \leq y \leq N$,*

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{k \leq N} (N-k) \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_y(k) = -\frac{1}{2}N \log \frac{N}{y} + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \log 2\pi + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)} \right) N + O(Ny^{-\frac{1}{2}}) + O(y).$$

The reason the average does not have a main term of size $\frac{N^2}{y}$ as one might expect is that the term 1 from $q = 1$ in (1.4) cancels out this term independent of the truncation level y .

Proof. We have

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{k \leq N} (N-k) \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_y(k) = \sum_{k \leq N} (N-k) \mathfrak{S}(k) - \sum_{k \leq N} (N-k) \mathfrak{S}_y(k).$$

The first sum is evaluated in Lemma 4. For the second sum, we use (1.8) and (1.6) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \leq N} (N-k) \mathfrak{S}_y(k) &= \sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} \sum_{k \leq N} (N-k) c_q(-k) \\ &= \sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} \sum_{d|q} d\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq N \\ d|k}} (N-k) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and we see on letting $k = dm$ that by Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq N \\ d|k}} (N-k) &= d \sum_{1 \leq m \leq \frac{N}{d}} \left(\frac{N}{d} - m \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{N^2}{d} - \frac{1}{2} N + O(d), \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\sum_{k \leq N} (N-k) \mathfrak{S}_y(k) = \frac{1}{2} N^2 \sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} \sum_{d|q} \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) - \frac{1}{2} N \sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} \sum_{d|q} d\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) + O \left(\sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} \sum_{d|q} d^2 \right).$$

By Lemma 2,

$$\sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} \sum_{d|q} d^2 = \sum_{dm \leq y} \frac{\mu(dm)^2 d^2}{\phi(dm)^2} \leq \left(\sum_{m \leq y} \frac{\mu(m)^2}{\phi(m)^2} \right) \left(\sum_{d \leq y} \frac{\mu(d)^2 d^2}{\phi(d)^2} \right) \ll y.$$

Hence we see

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{k \leq N} (N-k) \mathfrak{S}_y(k) = \frac{1}{2} N^2 - \frac{1}{2} N \sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)} + O(y).$$

The theorem now follows from (4.2), (4.3), Lemma 3 with $d = 1$, Lemma 4, and the fact that $N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \leq \max(Ny^{-\frac{1}{2}}, y)$ for $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{6}$.

5. STARTING THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2

To prove Theorem 2 we need to asymptotically evaluate

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{k \leq N} (N-k)^2 \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_y(k)^2 &= \sum_{k \leq N} (N-k)^2 (\mathfrak{S}(k) - \mathfrak{S}_y(k))^2 \\
 (5.1) \quad &= \sum_{k \leq N} (N-k)^2 \mathfrak{S}(k)^2 - 2 \sum_{k \leq N} (N-k)^2 \mathfrak{S}(k) \mathfrak{S}_y(k) + \sum_{k \leq N} (N-k)^2 \mathfrak{S}_y(k)^2 \\
 &=: S_1 - 2S_2 + S_3.
 \end{aligned}$$

We evaluate each of these terms in the following sections.

6. THE SUM S_1

In this section we evaluate

$$(6.1) \quad S_1 = \sum_{k \leq N} (N-k)^2 \mathfrak{S}(k)^2.$$

The proof is along the same lines as the proof in [10] of Lemma 4.

Theorem 4. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{k \leq N} (N-k)^2 \mathfrak{S}(k)^2 &= \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{(p-1)^3} \right) \frac{N^3}{3} - \frac{1}{4} N^2 (\log N)^2 \\
 (6.2) \quad &+ \left(\frac{3}{4} - \gamma - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \sum_p \frac{\log p}{(p-1)^2} \right) N^2 \log N + O(N^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $g(k) = \prod_{\substack{p|k \\ p>2}} \left(\frac{p-1}{p-2} \right)^2$, so that $\mathfrak{S}(k)^2 = \begin{cases} 4C_2^2 g(k) & \text{if } 2 \mid k, \\ 0 & \text{if } 2 \nmid k \end{cases}$ and

$$(6.3) \quad S_1 = 4C_2^2 \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq N \\ 2 \mid k}} (N-k)^2 g(k) = 16C_2^2 \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \frac{N}{2}} \left(\frac{N}{2} - k \right)^2 g(k) = 16C_2^2 S_{11} \left(\frac{N}{2} \right)$$

where $S_{11}(N) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq N} (N-k)^2 g(k)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(s) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(p^m)}{p^{ms}} \right) \\
 (6.4) \quad &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^s} \right)^{-1} \prod_{p>2} \left(1 + \left(\frac{p-1}{p-2} \right)^2 \frac{1}{p^s - 1} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

for $\text{Re } s > 1$. To analytically continue $G(s)$ to the left, we see the dominant factor is

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right)^{-1},$$

and therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(s) &= \zeta(s) \prod_{p>2} \left(1 + \left(\frac{p-1}{p-2} \right)^2 \frac{1}{p^s - 1} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right) \\
 (6.5) \quad &= \zeta(s) \prod_{p>2} \left(1 + \frac{2p-3}{(p-2)^2 p^s} \right) =: \zeta(s) H(s)
 \end{aligned}$$

with $H(s)$ analytic for $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$. Next we write $H(s) = \zeta(s+1)^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{s+1}}\right)^2 J(s)$,

$$(6.6) \quad J(s) = \prod_{p>2} \left(1 + \frac{2p-3}{(p-2)^2 p^s}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{s+1}}\right)^2.$$

We then have (by Mathematica) that

$$(6.7) \quad J(s) = \prod_{p>2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(p-2)^2 p^s} \left(5 - \frac{8}{p} + \frac{1}{p^s} \left(-3 + \frac{2}{p} + \frac{4}{p^2}\right) + \frac{1}{p^{2s}} \left(\frac{2}{p} - \frac{3}{p^2}\right)\right)\right),$$

and for $-1 < \operatorname{Re} s < 0$ this is $\prod_{p>2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(p-2)^2 p^s} \left(-\frac{3}{p^s} + O(1) + O\left(\frac{1}{p^{2s+1}}\right)\right)\right)$, which is analytic for $\operatorname{Re} s > -\frac{1}{2}$.

Now, in the same way we obtained (3.4), we have for $a > 1$

$$(6.8) \quad S_{11}(N) = \sum_{k=1}^N (N-k)^2 g(k) = \frac{2!}{2\pi i} \int_{a-i\infty}^{a+i\infty} G(s) \frac{N^{s+2}}{s(s+1)(s+2)} ds.$$

We move the contour to $\operatorname{Re} s = b$, $-\frac{1}{2} < b < 0$. To ensure convergence and justify moving the contour we need to use a standard bound for $\zeta(s)$ which improves on (3.8). By [14], Chapter 5, for $|t| \geq 1$,

$$(6.9) \quad \zeta(\sigma + it) \ll (|t| + 3)^{\lambda(\sigma) + \epsilon},$$

where

$$(6.10) \quad \lambda(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sigma > 1, \\ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma & \text{if } 0 < \sigma \leq 1, \\ \frac{1}{2} - \sigma & \text{if } \sigma \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

This, along with the fact that $J = O_b(1)$ for $\operatorname{Re} s \geq b$, shows that the integrand is $O_b(N^{\sigma+2}/|t|^{2b+\frac{5}{2}})$ for $|t| \geq 1$.

We encounter a simple pole at $s = 1$ and a triple pole at $s = 0$. Since $H(s)$ is analytic at $s = 1$ and $\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} + O(1)$, the pole at 1 contributes $\frac{1}{3}H(1)N^3$ to $S_{11}(N)$. Expanding around $s = 0$ we have

$$(6.11) \quad \begin{aligned} G(s) \frac{N^{s+2}}{s(s+1)(s+2)} &= \zeta(s)\zeta(s+1)^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{s+1}}\right)^2 J(s) \frac{N^{s+2}}{s(s+1)(s+2)} \\ &= \frac{N^2}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{s^3} K(s) N^s \\ &= \frac{N^2}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{s^3} \left(1 + (\log N)s + \frac{(\log N)^2}{2}s^2 + O(s^3)\right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(K(0) + K'(0)s + \frac{K''(0)}{2}s^2 + O(s^3)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K(s) = \zeta(s)(s\zeta(s+1))^2(2-2^{-s})^2 \frac{1}{(1+s)(2+s)} J(s).$$

The pole at 0 therefore contributes $\frac{N^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2}K(0)(\log N)^2 + K'(0)\log N + \frac{1}{2}K''(0)\right)$. From the expansion $s\zeta(s+1) = 1 + \gamma s + O(s^2)$, we find that $K(0) = \frac{1}{2}\zeta(0)J(0) = -\frac{1}{4}J(0)$ and, using that if f_1 and f_2 are differentiable then $\frac{(f_1 f_2)'}{f_1 f_2} = \frac{f_1'}{f_1} + \frac{f_2'}{f_2}$, that $\frac{K'(0)}{K(0)} = \frac{\zeta'(0)}{\zeta(0)} + 2\gamma + 2\log 2 - 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{J'(0)}{J(0)}$. We have

$$(6.12) \quad \begin{aligned} J(0) &= \prod_{p>2} \left(1 + \frac{2p-3}{(p-2)^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^2 = \prod_{p>2} \frac{((p-2)^2 + 2p-3)(p-1)^2}{p^2(p-2)^2} \\ &= \prod_{p>2} \frac{(p-1)^4}{p^2(p-2)^2} = \prod_{p>2} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{C_2^2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\zeta'(0)}{\zeta(0)} = \log 2\pi,$$

$$(6.13) \quad \frac{J'(0)}{J(0)} = \sum_{p>2} \left(2 \frac{(\log p)p^{-s}}{p - p^{-s}} - \frac{(2p-3)(\log p)p^{-s}}{(p-2)^2 + (2p-3)p^{-s}} \right) \Big|_{s=0} = \sum_{p>2} \frac{\log p}{(p-1)^2},$$

and

$$(6.14) \quad \begin{aligned} H(1) &= \prod_{p>2} \left(1 + \frac{2p-3}{(p-2)^2 p} \right) = \prod_{p>2} \frac{p^3 - 4p^2 + 6p - 3}{(p-2)^2 p} \\ &= \prod_{p>2} \frac{(p-1)^4 + p - 1}{p^2(p-2)^2} = \prod_{p>2} \frac{(p-1)^4}{p^2(p-2)^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(p-1)^3} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2C_2^2} \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{(p-1)^3} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining these, we obtain

$$(6.15) \quad \begin{aligned} S_1(N) &= 16C_2^2 S_{11} \left(\frac{N}{2} \right) \\ &= 16C_2^2 \left(\frac{1}{24} H(1) N^3 + \frac{N^2}{16} (K(0)(\log(N/2))^2 + 2K'(0)\log(N/2) + K''(0)) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{2!}{2\pi i} \int_{b-i\infty}^{b+i\infty} G(s) \frac{N^{s+2}}{s(s+1)(s+2)} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{(p-1)^3} \right) N^3 - \frac{1}{4} C_2^2 J(0) N^2 ((\log N)^2 - 2(\log 2)\log N + (\log 2)^2) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} C_2^2 J(0) \left(\log 2\pi + 2\gamma + 2\log 2 - 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{p>2} \frac{\log p}{(p-1)^2} \right) N^2 (\log N - \log 2) + O(N^2) \\ &= \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{(p-1)^3} \right) \frac{N^3}{3} - \frac{1}{4} N^2 (\log N)^2 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{3}{4} - \gamma - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \sum_p \frac{\log p}{(p-1)^2} \right) N^2 \log N + O(N^2), \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

7. THE SUM S_2

In this section we evaluate

$$(7.1) \quad S_2 = \sum_{k \leq N} (N-k)^2 \mathfrak{S}(k) \mathfrak{S}_y(k).$$

Theorem 5. *We have*

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} S_2 &= \left(\sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^3} \right) \frac{N^3}{3} - \frac{N^2}{2} \log N \log y + \frac{N^2}{4} (\log y)^2 - \left(\gamma + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)} \right) \frac{N^2}{2} \log N \\ &\quad - \left(\gamma - \frac{3}{2} + \log 2\pi + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)^2} \right) \frac{N^2}{2} \log y + O(N^2) + O_\epsilon(N^{\frac{3}{2}} y^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}) + O(N^2 \log(2N) y^{-\frac{1}{2}}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The definition of \mathfrak{S}_y and the formula $c_q(-k) = \sum_{\substack{d|q \\ d|k}} d\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right)$ give

$$(7.3) \quad S_2 = \sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} \sum_{d|q} d\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq N \\ d|k}} (N-k)^2 \mathfrak{S}(k).$$

Letting $k = dm$, the inner sum is

$$(7.4) \quad S_{21}(d) = d^2 \sum_{1 \leq m \leq N/d} (N/d - m)^2 \mathfrak{S}(dm) = d^2 S_{22}(N/d),$$

where

$$(7.5) \quad S_{22}(x) = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq x} (x-m)^2 \mathfrak{S}(dm) = 2 \int_1^x \sum_{1 \leq m \leq u} (u-m) \mathfrak{S}(dm) du =: 2 \int_1^x S_{23}(u) du.$$

To evaluate this using Lemma 5, we write it in terms of \mathfrak{S}_d :

$$(7.6) \quad \begin{aligned} S_{23}(x) &= \sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} (x-n) \mathfrak{S}(dn) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq x \\ 2|dn}} (x-n) \frac{d}{(d, 2)\phi(d)} \mathfrak{S}_d(n) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{d}{2\phi(d)} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} (x-n) \mathfrak{S}_d(n) & \text{if } d \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{2d}{\phi(d)} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq \frac{x}{2}} \left(\frac{x}{2} - n\right) \mathfrak{S}_d(n) & \text{if } d \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The contribution to $S_{23}(x)$ from the main term of Lemma 5 is $\frac{d}{2\phi(d)}x^2$ regardless of the parity of d , and because $\sum_{p|2d} \frac{\log p}{p-1} = \sum_{p|d} \frac{\log p}{p-1}$ if d is even while $\log(x/2) + \sum_{p|2d} \frac{\log p}{p-1} = \log x + \sum_{p|d} \frac{\log p}{p-1}$ if d is odd, the second term contributes $-\frac{x}{2} \left(\log x + \gamma - 1 + \log 2\pi + \sum_{p|d} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right)$, again regardless of d 's parity. The error term in Lemma 5 is $\ll_{\epsilon} x^{\frac{1}{2}} d^{\epsilon}$ and $\frac{d}{\phi(d)} \ll_{\epsilon} d^{\epsilon}$. Thus

$$(7.7) \quad S_{23}(x) = \frac{d}{\phi(d)} \frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{x}{2} \left(\log x + \gamma - 1 + \log 2\pi + \sum_{p|d} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right) + O_{\epsilon}(x^{\frac{1}{2}} d^{\epsilon}).$$

Integrating, and denoting $\gamma - \frac{3}{2} + \log 2\pi$ by c_1 ,

$$(7.8) \quad S_{22}(x) = 2 \int_1^x S_{23}(u) du = \frac{d}{\phi(d)} \frac{x^3}{3} - \frac{x^2}{2} \left(\log x + c_1 + \sum_{p|d} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right) - \frac{d}{3\phi(d)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p|d} \frac{\log p}{p-1} + O_{\epsilon}(x^{\frac{3}{2}} d^{\epsilon}).$$

Thus, because $\frac{d}{\phi(d)}$ and $\sum_{p|d} \frac{\log p}{p-1}$ are both $O_{\epsilon}(d^{\epsilon})$,

$$(7.9) \quad S_{21}(d) = \frac{N^3}{3\phi(d)} - \frac{N^2}{2} \left(\log N - \log d + c_1 + \sum_{p|d} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right) + O_{\epsilon}(N^{\frac{3}{2}} d^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}).$$

For square-free q , $\sum_{d|q} \frac{d\mu(\frac{q}{d})}{\phi(d)} = \mu(q) \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{p}{p-1}\right) = \prod_{p|q} \frac{1}{p-1} = \frac{1}{\phi(q)}$, so the term $\frac{N^3}{3\phi(d)}$ contributes

$$(7.10) \quad \sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} \sum_{d|q} \frac{d\mu(\frac{q}{d}) N^3}{3\phi(d)} = \frac{N^3}{3} \sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^3}$$

to S_2 . Next, the terms $-\frac{N^2}{2}(\log N + c_1)$ are easily dealt with, and contribute

$$(7.11) \quad -\frac{N^2}{2}(\log N + c_1) \sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} \sum_{d|q} d\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) = -\frac{N^2}{2}(\log N + c_1) \sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)} \\ = -\frac{N^2}{2}(\log N + c_1) \left(\log y + \gamma + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)} \right) + O(N^2 \log(2N)y^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$

by Lemma 3. The error $O_\epsilon(N^{\frac{3}{2}}d^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon})$ contributes

$$(7.12) \quad \sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} \sum_{d|q} O_\epsilon(N^{\frac{3}{2}}d^{\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}) = O_\epsilon\left(N^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} q^{\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}\right) = O_\epsilon(N^{\frac{3}{2}}y^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}).$$

For the remaining terms, we first evaluate the inner sum:

$$(7.13) \quad \sum_{d|q} d\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \frac{N^2}{2} \left(\log d - \sum_{p|d} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right) = \frac{N^2}{2} \sum_{d|q} d\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \sum_{p|d} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p-1}\right) \log p \\ = \frac{N^2}{2} \sum_{p|q} \left(\frac{p-2}{p-1} \log p \sum_{\substack{d|q \\ p|d}} d\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \right) = \frac{N^2}{2} \sum_{p|q} \frac{p-2}{p-1} p \phi\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) \log p \\ = \frac{N^2}{2} \phi(q) \sum_{p|q} \frac{p(p-2)}{(p-1)^2} \log p = \frac{N^2}{2} \phi(q) \left(\log q - \sum_{p|q} \frac{\log p}{(p-1)^2} \right)$$

Thus the contribution of the terms $\frac{N^2}{2} \left(\log d - \sum_{p|d} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right)$ is $\frac{N^2}{2}$ times

$$(7.14) \quad \sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)} \left(\log q - \sum_{p|q} \frac{\log p}{(p-1)^2} \right) = \log y \sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)} - \sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)} \log(y/q) - \sum_{p \leq y} \frac{\log p}{(p-1)^2} \sum_{\substack{q \leq y \\ p|q}} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)}$$

The first sum is evaluated in Lemma 3, and contributes

$$(7.15) \quad (\log y)^2 + \left(\gamma + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)} \right) \log y + O(1).$$

Writing $q = pr$, the last sum is

$$(7.16) \quad \sum_{p \leq y} \frac{\log p}{(p-1)^3} \sum_{\substack{r \leq y/p \\ (r,p)=1}} \frac{\mu(r)^2}{\phi(r)} = \sum_{p \leq y} \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)^2} (\log(y/p) + O(1)) = \left(\sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)^2} \right) \log y + O(1).$$

We do the middle sum via contour integration:

$$(7.17) \quad \sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)} \log(y/q) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a-i\infty}^{a+i\infty} G(s) \frac{y^s}{s^2} ds, \quad \text{where } G(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)^2}{\phi(n)} n^{-s} \text{ and } a > 0.$$

We have

$$(7.18) \quad G(s) = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{(p-1)p^s} \right) = \zeta(s+1) \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{p(p-1)p^s} - \frac{1}{p(p-1)p^{2s}} \right) =: \zeta(s+1)H(s),$$

where $H(s)$ is analytic for $\operatorname{Re} s > -1/2$ and $H(0) = 1$. Near $s = 0$,

$$(7.19) \quad G(s) \frac{y^s}{s^2} = \frac{1}{s^2} \zeta(s+1)H(s)y^s \\ = \frac{1}{s^2} \left(\frac{1}{s} + \gamma - \gamma_1 s + O(s^2) \right) \left(1 + H'(0)s + \frac{H''(0)s^2}{2} + O(s^3) \right) \left(1 + (\log y)s + \frac{(\log y)^2 s^2}{2} + O(s^3) \right).$$

The residue at 0 is then

$$(7.20) \quad \frac{(\log y)^2}{2} + (\gamma + H'(0)) \log y - \gamma_1 + \gamma H'(0) + \frac{H''(0)}{2},$$

so by moving the contour to $\operatorname{Re} s = b$, $-1/2 < b < 0$ (convergence follows from (6.9)), we get

$$(7.21) \quad \sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)} \log(y/q) = \frac{(\log y)^2}{2} + (\gamma + H'(0)) \log y + O(1).$$

We have $\frac{H'(s)}{H(s)} = \sum_p \frac{(2p^{-2s} - p^{-s}) \log p}{p(p-1) + p^{-s} - p^{-2s}}$, so $H'(0) = \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)}$. Then combining (7.21) with (7.15) and (7.16),

$$(7.22) \quad \sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)} \left(\log q - \sum_{p|q} \frac{\log p}{(p-1)^2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} (\log y)^2 - \left(\sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)^2} \right) \log y + O(1).$$

Combining with the other terms (7.10), (7.11) and (7.12),

$$(7.23) \quad \begin{aligned} S_2 &= \sum_{k \leq N} (N-k)^2 \mathfrak{S}(k) \mathfrak{S}_y(k) \\ &= \left(\sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^3} \right) \frac{N^3}{3} - \frac{N^2}{2} (\log N + c_1) \left(\log y + \gamma + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)} \right) + O(N^2 \log(2N) y^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &\quad + \frac{N^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} (\log y)^2 - \left(\sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)^2} \right) \log y + O(1) \right) + O_\epsilon(N^{\frac{3}{2}} y^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}) \\ &= \left(\sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^3} \right) \frac{N^3}{3} - \frac{N^2}{2} \log N \log y + \frac{N^2}{4} (\log y)^2 - \left(\gamma + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)} \right) \frac{N^2}{2} \log N \\ &\quad - \left(c_1 + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)^2} \right) \frac{N^2}{2} \log y + O(N^2) + O_\epsilon(N^{\frac{3}{2}} y^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}) + O(N^2 \log(2N) y^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \end{aligned}$$

as claimed.

8. THE SUM S_3

In this section we prove the following result on S_3 .

Theorem 6. *We have, for $1 \leq y \leq \sqrt{N}$,*

$$(8.1) \quad \begin{aligned} S_3 := \sum_{k \leq N} (N-k)^2 \mathfrak{S}_y(k)^2 &= \left(\sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^3} \right) \frac{N^3}{3} - \left(\log y + \gamma + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)} \right)^2 \frac{N^2}{2} \\ &\quad + O(N^2 y^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log y) + O(N y^2). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The definition of $\mathfrak{S}_y(k)$ and the formula $c_q(-k) = \sum_{\substack{d|q \\ d|k}} d \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right)$ give

$$(8.2) \quad S_3 = \sum_{q \leq y} \sum_{q' \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} \frac{\mu(q')^2}{\phi(q')^2} \underbrace{\sum_{1 \leq k \leq N} (N-k)^2 c_q(-k) c_{q'}(-k)}_{S_{31}},$$

and

$$(8.3) \quad S_{31} = \sum_{d|q} \sum_{d'|q'} d \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) d' \mu\left(\frac{q'}{d'}\right) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq N \\ [d, d']|k}} (N-k)^2.$$

Using Lemma 1 on

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq N \\ [d, d'] | k}} (N - k)^2 = [d, d']^2 \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \frac{N}{[d, d']}} \left(\frac{N}{[d, d']} - k \right)^2,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_{31} &= \sum_{d|q} \sum_{d'|q'} [d, d']^2 dd' \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \mu\left(\frac{q'}{d'}\right) \left(\frac{N^3}{3[d, d']^3} - \frac{N^2}{2[d, d']^2} + O\left(\frac{N}{[d, d']}\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{N^3}{3} \sum_{d|q} \sum_{d'|q'} (d, d') \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \mu\left(\frac{q'}{d'}\right) - \frac{N^2}{2} \sum_{d|q} \sum_{d'|q'} dd' \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \mu\left(\frac{q'}{d'}\right) + O\left(N \sum_{d|q} \sum_{d'|q'} d^2 d'^2\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we use that $\frac{N}{6[d, d']} + O(1) = O\left(\frac{N}{[d, d']}\right)$ because $[d, d'] \leq dd' \leq qq' \leq y^2 \leq N$. Thus

$$(8.4) \quad S_3 = A_1(y) \frac{N^3}{3} - A_2(y) \frac{N^2}{2} + O(A_3(y)N),$$

where

$$(8.5) \quad A_1(y) = \sum_{q \leq y} \sum_{q' \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} \frac{\mu(q')^2}{\phi(q')^2} \sum_{d|q} \sum_{d'|q'} (d, d') \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \mu\left(\frac{q'}{d'}\right),$$

$$(8.6) \quad A_2(y) = \sum_{q \leq y} \sum_{q' \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} \frac{\mu(q')^2}{\phi(q')^2} \left(\sum_{d|q} d \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \right) \left(\sum_{d'|q'} d' \mu\left(\frac{q'}{d'}\right) \right) = \left(\sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} \cdot \phi(q) \right)^2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (8.7) \quad A_3(y) &= \sum_{q \leq y} \sum_{q' \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} \frac{\mu(q')^2}{\phi(q')^2} \left(\sum_{d|q} d^2 \right) \left(\sum_{d'|q'} d'^2 \right) = \left(\sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} \sum_{d|q} d^2 \right)^2 \\ &= \left(\sum_{dr \leq y} \frac{\mu(dr)^2}{\phi(dr)^2} d^2 \right)^2 = \left(\sum_{r \leq y} \frac{\mu(r)^2}{\phi(r)^2} \sum_{\substack{d \leq y/r \\ (d, r)=1}} \frac{\mu(d)^2 d^2}{\phi(d)^2} \right)^2 \\ &\ll \left(\sum_{r \leq y} \frac{\mu(r)^2}{\phi(r)^2} \cdot \frac{y}{r} \right)^2 \ll y^2, \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 2 for the last two steps. We compute $A_1(y)$ the same way we did $B_1(y)$ in §3, using

$$(d, d') = \sum_{\substack{r|d \\ r|d'}} \phi(r)$$

to get

$$(8.8) \quad A_1(y) = \sum_{r \leq y} \phi(r) \left(\sum_{\substack{q \leq y \\ r|q}} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} \sum_{\substack{d|q \\ r|d}} \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \right)^2 = \sum_{r \leq y} \frac{\mu(r)^2}{\phi(r)^3}.$$

We conclude

$$(8.9) \quad S_3 = \left(\sum_{r \leq y} \frac{\mu(r)^2}{\phi(r)^3} \right) \frac{N^3}{3} - \left(\sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)} \right)^2 \frac{N^2}{2} + O(Ny^2).$$

Theorem 6 now follows from Lemma 3.

9. COMPLETION OF THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2

By (5.1) and Theorems 4, 5, and 6, for $1 \leq y \leq \sqrt{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k \leq N} (N-k)^2 \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_y(k)^2 &= S_1 - 2S_2 + S_3 \\
&= \left(\prod_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{(p-1)^3} \right) - 2 \sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^3} + \sum_{q \leq y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^3} \right) \frac{N^3}{3} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4} N^2 (\log N)^2 + 2 \left(\frac{1}{2} N^2 \log N \log y - \frac{1}{4} N^2 (\log y)^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} N^2 (\log y)^2 \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{3}{4} - \gamma - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \sum_p \frac{\log p}{(p-1)^2} \right) N^2 \log N \\
&\quad + 2 \left(\left(\gamma + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)} \right) \frac{N^2}{2} \log N + \left(\gamma - \frac{3}{2} + \log 2\pi + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)^2} \right) \frac{N^2}{2} \log y \right) \\
&\quad - 2 \left(\gamma + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)} \right) \frac{N^2}{2} \log y \\
&\quad + O(N^2) + O(N^2 \log(2N) y^{-\frac{1}{2}}) + O_\epsilon(N^{3/2} y^{1/2+\epsilon}) + O(N^2 y^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log y) + O(Ny^2) \\
&= \left(\sum_{q > y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^3} \right) \frac{N^3}{3} + N^2 \left(-\frac{1}{4} (\log N)^2 + \log N \log y - (\log y)^2 \right) \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + \sum_p \frac{(p-2) \log p}{2p(p-1)^2} \right) N^2 \log N + \left(-\frac{3}{2} + \log 2\pi + \sum_p \frac{(2-p) \log p}{p(p-1)^2} \right) N^2 \log y \\
&\quad + O(N^2) + O(N^2 \log(2N) y^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \\
&= \left(\sum_{q > y} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^3} \right) \frac{N^3}{3} - \frac{1}{4} N^2 \left(\log \frac{N}{y^2} \right)^2 + c N^2 \log \frac{N}{y^2} + O(N^2) + O(N^2 \log(2N) y^{-\frac{1}{2}}).
\end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Buttkewitz, *Exponential sums over primes and the prime twin problem*, Acta Math. Hungar., **131** (1-2) (2011), 46–58. DOI: 10.1007/s10474-010-0015-9.
- [2] J. B. Friedlander and D. A. Goldston, *Some singular series averages and the distribution of Goldbach numbers in short intervals*, Illinois J. of Math., **39** No. 1, Spring 1995, 158–180.
- [3] D. A. Goldston, *The second moment for prime numbers*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) **35** (1984), 153–163.
- [4] D. A. Goldston *Linnik's theorem on Goldbach numbers in short intervals*, Glasgow Math. J. **32** (1990), 285–297.
- [5] D. A. Goldston, *On Hardy and Littlewood's contribution to the Goldbach conjecture*, in: E. Bombieri et al. (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Amalfi Conference on Analytic Number Theory* (1992), 115–155.
- [6] D. A. Goldston, *The major arcs approximation for an exponential sum over primes*, Acta Arithmetica **XCII.2** (2000), 169–179.
- [7] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Some problems of 'Partitio numerorum'; III: On the expression of a number as a sum of primes*, Acta Math. **44** (1922), no. 1, 1–70. Reprinted as pp. 561–630 in *Collected Papers of G. H. Hardy*, Vol. I, Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1966.
- [8] A. Hildebrand, *Über die punktweise Konvergenz von Ramanujan-Entwicklungen zahlentheoretischer Funktionen*, Acta Arithmetica **XLIV** (1984), 109–140.
- [9] A. E. Ingham, *The Distribution of Prime Numbers* (Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics 30, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1932).
- [10] H. L. Montgomery and K. Soundararajan, *Beyond pair correlation*, Paul Erdős and his mathematics, I (Budapest, 1999), 507–514, Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., 11, Janos Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 2002.
- [11] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, *Error terms in additive prime number theory*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (1), **24** (1973), 207–216.
- [12] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, *Multiplicative Number Theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **97**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [13] Atle Selberg, *On an elementary method in the theory of primes*. Norske Vid. Selsk. Forh. Trondheim **19** (1947) 6467.

- [14] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, 2nd ed., revised by D. R. Heath-Brown, Clarendon (Oxford), 1986.
- [15] R. C. Vaughan, *On a variance associated with the distribution of primes in arithmetic progressions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **82** (2001), 533–553.
- [16] B. M. Wilson, *Proofs of some formulae enunciated by Ramanujan*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2), **21** (1922), 235–255.
- [17] Yitang Zhang, *Bounded gaps between primes*, Annals of Mathematics, **179** (2014), (3), 1121–1174.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SAN JOSÉ STATE UNIVERSITY, 315 MACQUARRIE HALL, ONE WASHINGTON SQUARE, SAN JOSÉ, CALIFORNIA 95192-0103, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

E-mail address: `goldston@math.sjsu.edu`